# As Seen in JAMA - Full-Day vs Part-Day Preschool: School Readiness, Attendance, and Parent Involvement

Arthur Reynolds, Brandt Richardson, Momoko Hayakawa, Erin Lease, Michelle Englund, Suh-Ruu Ou, Nicole Smerillo, Christina Mondi, Sangyoo Lee, Allyson Candee, Amy Stein

**University of Minnesota** 

All correspondence to Arthur Reynolds: ajr@umn.edu

## **Historical and Current Context**

The <u>Child-Parent Center</u> (CPC) program is a comprehensive PreK-3<sup>rd</sup> grade intervention from targeting low-income children and their families. Cost-benefit analyses have demonstrated returns of over \$8 per dollar invested. The CPC model has proven effects on cognitive, socio-emotional, and economic gains throughout the life course (Reynolds et al., 2011).

The <u>Midwest Child-Parent Center Expansion Project</u> began in 2012 to expand the CPC model to 30 schools across the Midwest through an Investing in Innovation grant from the US Department of Education.

#### **Core Program Elements** include:

- Effective Learning Experiences, PreK-3<sup>rd</sup>
- Aligned curriculum
- Parent involvement and engagement
- Collaborative Leadership Team
- Continuity and Stability
- Professional development system



# Research Question

The goal of this study was to evaluate the impacts of the first year of the Midwest CPC Expansion Project on key academic outcomes. Using data from 11 CPC sites, we compared the impacts of the full-day versus part-day versions of the CPC program in terms of:

- 1) Academic achievement
- 2) Attendance rates and chronic absence
- 3) Parent involvement

Sample					
	Full-Day CPC	Part-Day CPC			
CPC Preschool	409	573			
# of classrooms	23	19			
Original CPC site	285	529			
4 year olds at entry	351	215			
3 year olds at entry	58	358			

## Methodology

Linear and probit regressions in the generalized estimating equations approach were used to analyze the data of 982 students in 11 schools that had both full-day and part-day CPC classrooms.

Robust standard errors were estimated through clustering at the school level.

Missing test scores were multiply imputed using the expectation-maximization algorithm. Sensitivity analyses were conducted to confirm results.

Adjusted marginal means were calculated while controlling for: sex, race/ethnicity, free lunch status, age in months, special education status, school level achievement, fall baseline scores and a dummy variable indicating timing of assessment.

We analyzed impacts on: (a) average attendance rates; (b) 2 measures of chronic absence; (c) TSGOLD assessment scores; and (d) parent involvement.

Adjusted Means					
Outcome	Full-Day	Part-Day	P-value	Std. Mean Diff	
TSGOLD % at national norm					
Literacy	85.1%	74.6%	.03	0.37	
Language	81.2%	61.7%	.01	0.57	
Math	84.4%	72.3%	.01	0.41	
SEM	73.4%	56.0%	.05	0.46	
Physical Health	82.2%	68.6%	.07	0.42	
Total	80.9%	58.7%	.008	0.65	
Attendance					
Average daily	85.9%	80.4%	.001	-0.41	
Chronic absence, 10%	53.0%	71.6%	.001	-0.50	
Chronic Absence, 20%	21.2%	38.8%	<.001	-0.53	

### Results

#### **TSGOLD Achievement**

- Full-day students had significantly higher test scores in literacy, language, math, socio-emotional development, physical health and total scores.
  - Significant both for raw test scores and the percent of students scoring at or above the national average.
- Only cognitive development was insignificant.

#### **Attendance Rates and Chronic Absence**

- Full-day students had significantly higher rates of attendance.
- Full-day students had significantly lower rates of chronic absence.

#### **Parent Involvement**

No significant differences in parent involvement emerged.

## Policy Implications & Future Directions

This research attests to the effectiveness of full-day early elementary programs. Opening full-day classrooms can enhance schools' abilities to effectively meet family needs and to promote early school readiness.

- The availability of full-day PreK increased program enrollment at many of the sites in the current study.
- Based on preliminary results, Chicago Public Schools has recently expanded their full-day offerings.
- Replication is necessary to examine other contexts.

# Acknowledgements

This research was supported by:

- U.S. Department of Education (U411B110098) and private-sector matched funds from 20 funders and foundations
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (R01HD034294)
- The Human Capital Research Collaborative (HCRC), a partnership between the University of Minnesota and the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis
- Chicago Longitudinal Study, Institute of Child Development at the University of Minnesota

Results of the present study were published in JAMA. For details, see:

Reynolds, A. J., Richardson, B. A., Hayakawa, M., Lease, E. M., Warner-Richter, M., Englund, M. M., ... Sullivan, M. (2014). Association of a Full-Day vs Part-Day Preschool Intervention With School Readiness, Attendance, and Parent Involvement. *JAMA*, 312(20), 2126. http://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.15376

Reynolds, A. J., Temple, J. A., Ou, S., Arteaga, I. A. & White, B. (2011). School-Based Early Childhood Education and Age-28 Well-Being: Effects by Timing, Dosage, and Subgroups. *Science 333*, 360-364, 15 July 2011 DOI: 10.1126/science.1203618.



COLLEGE OF EDUCATION + HUMAN DEVELOPMENT



